

Notes: Particle in a Box By Random Walks

— Phys690 HW5 (Spring '07)

Shiwei Zhang

The goal is to solve for the ground state of a particle in a box. We set $\hbar = m = 1$. The Hamiltonian is $H = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$, where $V(x)$ is 0 when x is on $(-1, 1)$ and ∞ otherwise.

Note that this is the drunkard problem, which we studied earlier, in disguise. As we have shown, the solution to

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x, t) = H\psi(x, t)$$

leads to the ground state of H at large t : $\psi(x, t \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow \psi_0(x)$. In the drunkard problem, the probability distribution of the drunkard *at large time* is a smooth function which vanishes at the two bars. According to the argument above, this distribution is the ground-state wave function of the quantum-mechanical problem of a particle in a box! Our strategy to solve the quantum mechanical problem is therefore to simulate the motion of many drunkards in order to obtain their distribution at large time. Of course, because of trapping at the bars, there is a finite probability of losing the drunkard. We would have to multiply $\psi(x, t)$ by a constant to make up for that loss, so as to ensure that the normalization of $\psi(x, t)$ stays a constant.

Now we need to figure out how to simulate the drunkard motion. The zeroth-order description is that it is just diffusion (Gaussian). But boundary effect must be properly accounted for. In the lattice version the drunkard either “lands” exactly at the bar, or not. In the continuum version, however, the situation is less straightforward: there is always a *finite* probability that the drunkard will land at the bar (and hence be absorbed). Therefore we need to modify the Gaussian to take this effect into consideration. Below is a more formal description.

We need to repeatedly operate $\exp(-\tau H)$ on an initial wave function. (τ is small.) Recall that $\exp(-\tau H) \equiv g(x, x')$ is called the *short-time* Green’s function. It can be approximated by:

$$g(x, x') = \begin{cases} g_0(x, x') - g_0(x, Ix'), & \text{if } x \text{ \& } x' \text{ inside box} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where g_0 is the free-particle Green’s function: $g_0(x, x') = 1/\sqrt{2\pi\tau} \exp[-(x-x')^2/2\tau]$, and Ix' denotes the mirror image of x' with respect to the closer side of the box. It is easily verified that $g(x, x')$ indeed: (i) satisfies $-\partial g/\partial \tau = Hg$, (ii) is $\delta(x-x')$ at $\tau = 0$ and, (iii) (approximately) satisfies the boundary condition at the sides. Note that, except for near the two sides, $g(x, x')$ is essentially the free Green’s function $g_0(x, x')$.

We want to propagate

$$\psi(x) = e^{\tau E_0} \int g(x, x')\psi(x') dx'. \quad (2)$$

The *constant* $e^{\tau E_0}$ ensures normalization when ψ is the ground-state wave function, *i.e.*, when the propagation has reached equilibrium. We can rewrite Eq. (2) as:

$$\psi(x) = e^{\tau E_0} \int K(x, x') g_0(x, x') \psi(x') dx', \quad (3)$$

where

$$K(x, x') = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{g_0(x, Ix')}{g_0(x, x')} \equiv 1 - P(x, x'), & \text{if } x' \text{ and } x \text{ inside box} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \quad (4)$$

Inside the integral in Eq. (3), from right to left, the three factors: (a) $\psi(x')$ can be viewed as probability density for x' , (b) $g_0(x, x')$ can be viewed as probability density for x conditional on x' and, (c) $K(x, x')$ is between 0 and 1 and can be used as a probability.

As a variant of the method we discussed in class, we introduce another way to treat branching. We use a *fixed* number of walkers to represent ψ . The three steps in the help page on ‘`step`’ correspond to (a), (b), and (c) in the above paragraph. In the last step, we accept x with probability K (note that `exp(-imgdist)` in the code is P in Eq. (4)). If we reject, we must start all over again from (a). We do not normalize `exp(-imgdist)`, because $g(x, x')$ (or K) is *not* normalized with respect to x . The key is to keep *randomly* picking a walker out of the current pool until we have obtained exactly the desired number of new walkers. The advantage is that no E_T is needed; the disadvantage is that this scheme introduces a systematic bias in the final result that becomes more pronounced as the number of walkers `n_wlks` decreases.