## A note on error propagation

We are trying to find the change (uncertainty) in some function $f(x, y, z)$ when $x, y$ and $z$ are subject to change (i.e., have some uncertainty). Assuming first that only $x$ has an uncertainty $\delta x$ and that our measured values (distinct from the variables $x, y$ and $z$ ) are $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\delta f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right|= & \left|f\left(x_{0}+\delta x, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right|  \tag{1}\\
\approx & \left\lvert\,\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{x=x_{0}}\left(x_{0}+\delta x-x_{0}\right)\right]\right. \\
& -f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \mid  \tag{2}\\
= & \left.\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{x=x_{0}}(\delta x) \right\rvert\, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

For uncorrelated uncertainties on $x, y$ and $z$, this leads to the general formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\delta f)^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^{2}(\delta x)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^{2}(\delta y)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^{2}(\delta z)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where we evaluate $f()$ and it's derivatives at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$.

## Example from the e/m lab

We'd like to propagate errors on $e / m$. First we write down a function for $e / m$ in terms of the measured variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e}{m}=\left[\frac{125}{32} \frac{a^{2}}{\mu_{0}^{2} N^{2}}\right] \frac{V}{I^{2} r^{2}}=C \frac{V}{I^{2} r^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant and $V, I, r$ are the measured variables. We can now propagate errors and find the uncertainty $(e / m)_{j}$, the measured value of $e / m$ for trial $j$. We set $e / m=f(V, I, r)$ and then $(e / m)_{j}=f\left(V_{j}, I_{j}, r_{j}\right) \equiv f_{j}$. The uncertainty in $f_{j}$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\delta f_{j}\right)^{2}= & \left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial V}\right|_{j}\right)^{2}(\delta V)^{2}+\left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial I}\right|_{j}\right)^{2}(\delta I)^{2}+\left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right|_{j}\right)^{2}(\delta r)^{2}  \tag{6}\\
= & \left(\frac{C}{I_{j}^{2} r_{j}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\delta V_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{-2 C V_{j}}{I_{j}^{3} r_{j}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\delta I_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{-2 C V_{j}}{I_{j}^{2} r_{j}^{3}}\right)^{2}\left(\delta r_{j}\right)^{2}  \tag{7}\\
& \text { now we can rearrange a little bit } \\
= & \left(\frac{C V_{j}}{I_{j}^{2} r_{j}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\delta V_{j}}{V_{j}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{C V_{j}}{I_{j}^{2} r_{j}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(2 \frac{\delta I_{j}}{I_{j}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{C V_{j}}{I_{j}^{2} r_{j}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(2 \frac{\delta r_{j}}{r_{j}}\right)^{2}(8)
\end{align*}
$$

But $\left(\frac{C V_{j}}{I_{j}^{2} r_{j}^{2}}\right)^{2}=\left(f_{j}\right)^{2}$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta f_{j}\right)^{2}=\left(f_{j}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\delta V_{j}}{V_{j}}\right)^{2}+\left(f_{j}\right)^{2}\left(2 \frac{\delta I_{j}}{I_{j}}\right)^{2}+\left(f_{j}\right)^{2}\left(2 \frac{\delta r_{j}}{r_{j}}\right)^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terms $\frac{\delta V_{j}}{V_{j}}, \frac{\delta I_{j}}{I_{j}}, \frac{\delta r_{j}}{r_{j}}$ are just fractional uncertainties in the measured voltage, current and radius. In this case, you had something like $\frac{\delta V_{j}}{V_{j}} \approx$ $\frac{0.05 \mathrm{~V}}{250 \mathrm{~V}}=0.02 \%$, something similar for $I$, but $\frac{\delta r_{j}}{r_{j}} \approx \frac{0.3 \mathrm{~cm}}{4.0 \mathrm{~cm}}=7.5 \%$, so the last term in Eq. 9 completely dominates the other two. Therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta f_{j}\right| \approx\left|f_{j}\right|\left|2 \frac{\delta r_{j}}{r_{j}}\right|=15 \%\left|f_{j}\right| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the sort of analysis I'd like to see in a report and Eq. 10 is what you'd code into an Igor or Excel spreadsheet. Of course Eq. 9 would also work, you'd just miss out on some intuition about what was driving the uncertainties in your experiment and could be more vulnerable to typos in the formula.

## A slightly different approach

What if derivatives of $f$ are messy to evaluate? For example as in the electron diffraction lab when you are trying to propagate uncertainties on $\sin \theta$ which involves a double angle formula, sines and inverse sines of the quantities you measured. There is a simple thing you can do, going back to Eq. 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|f\left(x_{0}+\delta x, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be generalized to multiple dimensions as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\delta f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right)^{2} & =\left[f\left(x_{0}+\delta x, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& +\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}+\delta y, z_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& +\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}+\delta z\right)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, we just reevaluate $f$ when we shift $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$. The shifts are independent so long as the uncertainties themselves are independent, and we add all shifts in quadrature. This avoids taking derivatives and may, or may not, be less messy. Also, note, I claim it's a little better to do this:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\delta f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right)^{2} & =\left[\frac{1}{2}\left|f\left(x_{0}+\delta x, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right|\right.  \tag{12}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left|f\left(x_{0}-\delta x, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)\right|\right]^{2} \\
& +\quad \text { similar terms for } y \text { and } z \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, average the effect of shifting by $\pm \delta x$.

## Final Note

Whatever you do, be clear about what you are assuming for input uncertainties and the specific formula for $\delta f$ ! We don't need to see all steps in deriving $\delta f$ but we must be able to plug your $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}$ and $\delta x_{i}, \delta y_{i}, \delta z_{i}$ into your formula to get your $\delta f_{i}$.

