Random number generators and random processes

Eugeniy E. Mikhailov

The College of William & Mary



Lecture 12

Mathematical and physical definition of probability (p) of event 'x'

$$\mathcal{D}_{x} = \lim_{N_{total} \to \infty} \frac{N_{x}}{N_{total}}$$

where

 N_x the number of registered event 'x' N_{total} the total number of all events

Probability for occurrence of the real number

It is well known that interval [0..1] has infinite amount of reals numbers (as well as any other non zero interval).

So there is very little or may be zero chance that event will repeat or even happen. Since we cannot run ∞ number of tests.

In this case we should speak about probability density p(x).

The best way to estimate it is from a histogram.

Run you N tests with numbers distributed between 0 and 1. Split you interval at *m* bins and calculate number of events when you hit each bin $h(x_b)$. Plot this vs bin positions.


Easy to do with Matlab


r = rand(1, N); hist(r, m);

Then

$$p(x) = \lim_{N,m\to\infty} \frac{h(x_b \text{ nearest to } x)}{N}$$

r = rand(1, 10000);hist(r, 10); r = rand(1, 10000);hist(r, 100);





How can a computer, which is very accurate, precise, and deterministic, generate random numbers?

It cannot!

Instead we can generate a sequence of pseudo random numbers. By pseudo we mean that starting from the same initial conditions the computer will generate the same sequence of numbers (*very handy for debugging*). But otherwise it will look like random numbers and will have statistical properties of random numbers.

Linear Congruential Generator (LCG)

Recursive formula

 $r_{i+1} = (ar_i + c) \mod m$

here

m the modulus *a* multiplier, 0 < a < m *c* increment, $c \le c < m$ r_1 seed value, $0 < r_1 < m$

All pseudo random generators have a period and this one is no exception. Note that once r_i repeat one of the previews values the sequence will restart.

This one can have at most a period of m distinct numbers (0..m).

Bad choice of *a*, *c*, *m* will lead to even shorter periods.



While the LCG has advantage of speed and simplicity.

Do not use the LCG whenever your money or reputation are at stakes!

While Matlab does not use LCG, many other programming languages use it as default in their libraries so be aware of it.

Even the best pseudo random generators cannot have a period larger than 2^B , where *B* is number of memory bits. Can you prove it?

While the period can be huge its not infinite. For example for Matlab R2007a the period is $2^{19937} - 1$.

Why bother?

Recall that for example error of the Monte Carlo integration method is $\sim 1/\sqrt{N}$.


This holds true only when N < than the period of random number generator (*T*).

Otherwise the MC method cannot give uncertainty better than $\sim 1/\sqrt{T}$. Further increase of the number of random points will not bring any any extra improvement.

Generally it is uneasy and probably impossible. However for us only statistical properties are of importance. So the easiest is to check that integral deviation calculated with Monte Carlo algorithm drops as $1/\sqrt{N}$.

Simple LCG check

Let's see how the LCG will look with very bad coefficient $m = 10, a = 2, c = 1, r_1 = 1$



Lines are fro MC with rand and line-crosses for lcgrand (Nrows, Ncols, 2,1,10);

```
function r = \ldots
lcgrand(Nrows,Ncols, ...
a,c,m, seed)
r=zeros(Nrows, Ncols);
r(1) = seed;
cntr=1;
for i=2:Nrows*Ncols;
r(i) = mod((a * r(i-1) + c), m);
end
r=r/(m-1); %normalization
end
```

check_lcgrand