

Physical interpretation of Lorentz transformations!

Consider rotations: For a rotation about the  $x^3$ -axis by an angle  $\theta$  we would write

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & \\ & \sin\theta & \cos\theta & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

For small angles this becomes,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & -\theta & \\ & \theta & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)$ .

We can write the infinitesimal transformation matrix as  $\delta^M_\nu + \omega^M_\nu$ , where  $\omega^M_\nu$  is the antisymmetric matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & -\theta & \\ & \theta & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

For a general <sup>infinitesimal</sup> rotation by  $\theta$  about the  $\hat{\theta}^k$  axis we would have for the spatial components  $\omega^i_j$ ,  $\omega^{ij} = -\omega^{ji} = \omega^j_i = -\omega^i_j = \sum_k \epsilon^{ijk} \theta \hat{\theta}^k$ .

For our rotation about  $x^3$  we have  $\omega^{12} = \theta$ .

For a boost in the  $x^1$ -direction by velocity  $v$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cosh w & \sinh w & & \\ \sinh w & \cosh w & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the boost parameter  $w$  satisfies

$$\cosh w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

$$\tanh w = v/c$$

For small  $v/c$ , the transformation matrix becomes,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & v/c & & \\ v/c & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(v/c)^2$$

If we again write this as  $\delta^m_\nu + w^m_\nu$ , then in this example  $w^0_1 = w^1_0 = w^{10} = -w^{01} = v/c$ .

A general infinitesimal Lorentz transformation is specified by the antisymmetric matrix  $w^{m\nu}$ .

$$\text{Count \# parameters in } w^{m\nu} : \frac{4 \cdot 3}{2} = 6$$

$$= 3 \text{ rotations} + 3 \text{ boosts } \checkmark$$

We can understand the antisymmetry of  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  from the defining relation for Lorentz transformations:

$$\Lambda^\nu_\mu = \delta^\nu_\mu + \omega^\nu_\mu, \quad \Lambda^M_\nu \eta_{\mu\beta} \Lambda^\beta_\alpha = \eta_{\nu\alpha}$$

$$(\delta^M_\nu + \omega^M_\nu) \eta_{\mu\beta} (\delta^\beta_\alpha + \omega^\beta_\alpha)$$

$$= \eta_{\nu\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha\nu} + \omega_{\nu\alpha} + \underbrace{\omega_{\beta\nu} \omega^\beta_\alpha}_{\propto \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)}$$

(Note that we have been raising and lowering indices with  $\eta_{\mu\beta}$ .)

So, to linear order in  $\omega$ ,  $\boxed{\omega_{\alpha\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\alpha}}$ , as promised.

Now back to the Dirac equation. We will introduce a notation that makes the Dirac eqn appear Lorentz covariant, and then we will prove that it is.

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + i\hbar c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \psi = \beta mc^2 \psi$$

Multiply by  $\beta$ , define four " $\gamma$ -matrices":

$$\gamma^0 \equiv \beta$$

$$\gamma^i \equiv \beta \alpha^i, \quad i=1,2,3$$

Using  $\beta^2 = 1$ :

$$i\hbar c \gamma^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \psi = mc^2 \psi$$

This looks covariant, but we should keep in mind that  $\gamma^m$  are just 4 matrices — they don't transform under Lorentz transformations.

More notation: The Feynman slash,  $\not{p} \equiv \gamma^m p_m$  for any 4-vector  $p^m$ .

$$\rightsquigarrow \boxed{i\hbar c \not{\partial} \psi = mc^2 \psi}$$

Finally, in "natural units"  $\hbar = c = 1$

$$\rightsquigarrow \boxed{(i\not{\partial} - m) \psi = 0} \quad \text{The nice, compact Dirac eqn.}$$

Two useful representations of the gamma matrices:

Dirac basis:  $\gamma^0 = \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\gamma^i = \beta \alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Weyl basis:  $\gamma^0 = \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\gamma^i = \beta \alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise: What is  $\alpha^i$  in the Weyl basis?

Properties of  $\gamma^m$ :

$$(\gamma^0)^2 = \beta^2 = \mathbb{1}$$

$$(\gamma^i)^2 = \beta \alpha^i \beta \alpha^i = -\beta^2 (\alpha^i)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$$

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 0 \quad \text{if } \mu \neq \nu$$

Summary:

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$$

We can rederive the Klein-Gordon eqn from the Dirac eqn using our new notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 i \not{\partial} \psi &= m \psi && \text{Dirac eqn.} \\
 -\not{\partial} \not{\partial} \psi &= i m \not{\partial} \psi = m^2 \psi \\
 -\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \psi &= m^2 \psi \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi \\
 &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi \\
 &= -\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \psi
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\boxed{-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \psi = m^2 \psi}$  Klein-Gordon eqn.

This is the manifestly covariant form of the KG eqn. Both sides of the eqn. transform however  $\psi$  transforms.

To figure out how  $\psi$  transforms we can work backwards — start from the Dirac eqn and figure out what works.

Assume under a Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda$ ,

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow S(\Lambda) \psi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$$

for some  $4 \times 4$  matrix  $S(\Lambda)$ ,

Suppose we now transform backwards by  $\Lambda^{-1}$ , so we get the sequence of transformations,

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow S(\Lambda) \psi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \rightarrow S(\Lambda) S(\Lambda^{-1}) \psi(x).$$

But transforming by  $\Lambda$  and then  $\Lambda^{-1}$  should do nothing, so we get

$$\boxed{S(\Lambda^{-1}) = S^{-1}(\Lambda)}$$

Now transform the Dirac eqn:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\psi(x) \rightarrow [(i\gamma^{\mu}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\nu}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - m)S(\Lambda)\psi](\Lambda^{-1}x) = 0$$

Multiply on the left by  $S^{-1}(\Lambda)$ :

$$iS^{-1}(\Lambda)\gamma^{\mu}S(\Lambda)(\Lambda^{-1})^{\nu}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi - m\psi = 0$$

This has the same form as the original Dirac eqn iff

$$\boxed{S^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}S(\Lambda^{-1})^{\nu}_{\mu} = \gamma^{\nu}}$$

Given an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation specified by the antisymmetric matrix  $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ , expand  $S(\Lambda)$  in  $\omega$ :

$$S \approx 1 - \frac{i}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu}$$

$$S^{-1} \approx 1 + \frac{i}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu}$$

for some set of matrices  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ , antisymmetric in  $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ .

$$S^{-1} \gamma^M S = \Lambda^M_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mapsto \gamma^M + \frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^M - \frac{i}{4} \gamma^M \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2) \\ = \gamma^M + \omega^M_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

So we need to find a set of matrices  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$  satisfying,

$$\frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^M - \frac{i}{4} \gamma^M \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} = \omega^M_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu}$$

The answer:  $\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}]$

Exercise: Use the antisymmetry of  $\omega^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\{\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}\} = 2\eta^{\alpha\beta}$  to show that this  $\sigma^{\alpha\beta}$  works.

We have found that the Dirac spinor transforms under infinitesimal Lorentz transformations by the matrix,

$$S = \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{8} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}] \omega_{\mu\nu}$$

In the Dirac representation of the  $\gamma$ -matrices:

$$\sigma^{0i} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix}$$



Given the infinitesimal Lorentz transformations in the Dirac spinor representation, we can build finite Lorentz transformations.

Recall how this works for rotations:

Rotate by  $R_1$ , then  $R_2$ :

$$\psi \rightarrow S(R_2)S(R_1)\psi = S(R_2R_1)\psi$$

Rotations are generated by the angular momentum matrices  $J_i$ ,  $[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k$ .

$$S(R) = \exp(-i\theta^i J_i) \text{ rotates about } \hat{\theta} \text{ by } \theta.$$

Any matrices satisfying the algebra  $[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k$  form a representation.

$$\text{Spin-}\frac{1}{2} \text{ representations: } J^i = \frac{\sigma^i}{2}.$$

Lorentz transformations:

$$\psi \rightarrow S(\Lambda_2)S(\Lambda_1)\psi = S(\Lambda_2\Lambda_1)\psi$$

The matrices  $\frac{1}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  generate Lorentz transformations in the Dirac spinor representation.

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{S(\Lambda) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu}\right)}$$

The Lorentz transformations are parametrized by the antisymmetric matrix  $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ .

(To compare with Peskin Ch. 3.2,  $S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ .)

Compare with rotation of 2-component Pauli spinor in nonrelativistic QM:

$$\psi(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\right) \psi(R^{-1}\vec{x})$$

Spatial rotations:  $\sigma_{ij}$  Hermitian  $\rightarrow$   $S(\text{rotation}) = \text{unitary}$ .

But for boosts,  $\sigma_{0i}$  is not Hermitian:

$$S = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\omega \sigma_{01}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}\alpha_1\right) = S^\dagger \neq S^{-1}$$

So the transformation matrices for boosts are nonunitary.

However,  $\boxed{S^{-1} = \gamma_0 S^\dagger \gamma_0}$  is valid for both boosts & rotations.

Now consider the Lorentz transf of  $\psi^\dagger\psi$ :

$$\psi^\dagger\psi \rightarrow \psi^\dagger S^\dagger S \psi \neq \psi^\dagger\psi$$

On the other hand,

$$\psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \psi \rightarrow \psi^\dagger S^\dagger \gamma_0 S \psi = \psi^\dagger \overbrace{S^\dagger \gamma_0 S}^{\mathbb{1}} \psi$$

$$= \psi^\dagger \gamma_0 S^{-1} S \psi = \psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \psi$$

So  $\psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \psi$  is Lorentz invariant.

How about  $\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^m \psi$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^m \psi &\rightarrow \psi^\dagger S^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^m S \psi \\ &= \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^m \gamma^0 \psi \\ &= \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^m \psi \\ &= \gamma^0 \psi^\dagger \gamma^m \psi\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^m \psi$  transforms as a 4-vector.

The combination  $\psi^\dagger \gamma^0$  appears so often it is given its own notation and a name:

$$\boxed{\bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0} \quad \text{Dirac adjoint.}$$

We can also define the Dirac adjoint of an operator:

$$\boxed{\bar{A} \equiv \gamma^0 A \gamma^0}$$

It is defined so that  $\bar{u} \bar{A} \psi = (\bar{\psi} A u)^*$ ,  
like the ordinary adjoint.