

We are now in a position to construct a non-Abelian gauge theory from knowledge of the gauge group and the representations under which the matter fields transform.

Example: Quantum Chromodynamics = The Strong Interactions

- SU(3) gauge theory
- Six flavors of quarks (u, d, c, s, t, b), each of which transforms in the fundamental representation of the SU(3) gauge group.

SU(3) \Rightarrow 8 gauge fields A_μ^a , $a=1, \dots, 8$
(because $\dim \text{SU}(3) = 8$)

Fundamental rep = defining rep = 3-dimensional for SU(3)
 \Rightarrow 3 colors of quarks for each flavor.

Label quark fields \underline{q}_I^i , $I = u, d, c, s, t, b$ — flavor
 $i = 1, 2, 3$ — color

Generators of SU(3) \underline{T}^a , $a = 1, \dots, 8$

Gauge coupling \underline{g}_3

The Lagrangian for QCD is then:

$$L_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} + \sum_{I, i, j} \bar{q}_I^i (i \not{\partial} \delta_{ij} - g_3 A^a T_{ij}^a) q_I^j$$

+ quark mass terms.

In the Standard Model quark (and lepton) masses are due to couplings to the Higgs fields, which requires one more ingredient.

Pseudoreality of $SU(2)$ Representations:

A representation of a group is called pseudoreal if there is a nonsingular matrix S such that

$$\boxed{S T^a S^{-1} = -T^{a*}}$$

In that case a representation is equivalent to its conjugate.

The $SO(N)$ groups have all real representations.

Since $SU(2) \sim SO(3)$, the integer-spin reps of $SU(2)$ are real.

The half-odd-integer spin reps are called spinor reps.

For them, there is a nontrivial S that relates the rep to its conjugate.

Consider the spin- $1/2$ representation:

$$T^1 = \frac{\sigma^1}{2}, \quad T^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \quad T^3 = \frac{\sigma^3}{2}$$

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^{1*} \Rightarrow \boxed{-T^{1*} = -T^1}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma^{2*} \Rightarrow \boxed{-T^{2*} = +T^2}$$

$$\sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^{3*} \Rightarrow \boxed{-T^{3*} = -T^3}$$

Let $S = -i\sigma^2$.

$$ST^1S^{-1} = \sigma^2 \frac{\sigma^1}{2} \sigma^2 = -(\sigma^2)^2 \frac{\sigma^1}{2} = -\frac{\sigma^1}{2} = -T_1^*$$

$$ST^2S^{-1} = \sigma^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = -T_2^*$$

$$ST^3S^{-1} = \sigma^2 \frac{\sigma^3}{2} \sigma^2 = -\frac{\sigma^3}{2} = -T_3^*$$

Hence, $ST^a S^{-1} = -T^a^*$ for $a=1,2,3$.

Suppose $\underline{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ transforms as an $SU(2)$ doublet,

$$\underline{\Phi} \rightarrow \exp\left[i\theta^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2}\right] \underline{\Phi}$$

Then,

$$\underline{\Phi}^* \rightarrow \exp\left[-i\theta^a \frac{(\sigma^a)^T}{2}\right] \underline{\Phi}^*$$

(*) The combination $i\sigma^2 \underline{\Phi}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^* \\ -\phi_1^* \end{pmatrix}$ transforms as

an $SU(2)$ doublet, as we will now check.

$$\begin{aligned} i\sigma^2 \underline{\Phi}^* &\rightarrow i\sigma^2 \exp\left[-i\theta^a \frac{(\sigma^a)^T}{2}\right] \underline{\Phi}^* \\ &= i\sigma^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[-i\theta^a \frac{(\sigma^a)^T}{2}\right]^n \underline{\Phi}^* \end{aligned}$$

Expand $\left[-i\theta^a \frac{(\sigma^a)^T}{2}\right]^n$ and insert $(-i\sigma^2)(i\sigma^2) = 1$ between factors of $(\sigma^a)^T$, and to the right of the last factor.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } i\sigma^2 \Phi^* &\rightarrow \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \left[i\theta^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \right]^n i\sigma^2 \Phi^* \\ &= \exp \left[i\theta^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \right] (i\sigma^2 \Phi^*) \end{aligned}$$

This is what we wanted to prove:

Φ and $(i\sigma^2 \Phi^*)$ transform the same way.

The Higgs sector of the Standard Model takes advantage of this fact.

The Higgs fields $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are a pair of complex scalar fields that transform as an $SU(2)_W$ doublet under the weak interactions. — (This is a gauge invariance, not a global symmetry.)

The left-handed fermions (quarks or leptons) form $SU(2)_W$ doublets.

The right-handed fermions are $SU(2)_W$ singlets, i.e. they are invariant under $SU(2)_W$ transformations.

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{Yuk} = \lambda_d (\bar{\Psi}_L^1, \bar{\Psi}_L^2) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_R + \text{h.c.}$

is $SU(2)_W$ -invariant. But so is

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yuk} = \lambda_u (\bar{\Psi}_L^1, \bar{\Psi}_L^2) i\sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^* \\ \phi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \Psi_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Both types of Yukawa couplings are required in the Standard Model for understanding fermion masses.

If the Higgs doublet has a potential $V(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ with a minimum at $\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ with v real,

then expanding the Lagrangian about this minimum gives rise to terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_d &= \lambda_d (\bar{\Psi}_L^1, \bar{\Psi}_L^2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \Psi_R + \text{h.c.} \\ &= (\lambda_d v) \bar{\Psi}_L^2 \Psi_R + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

This looks like a mass term for the Dirac spinor whose left-handed component is Ψ_L^2 and whose right-handed component is Ψ_R , with mass $(\lambda_d v)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_u &= \lambda_u (\bar{\Psi}_L^1, \bar{\Psi}_L^2) \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_R' + \text{h.c.} \\ &= (\lambda_u v) \bar{\Psi}_L^1 \Psi_R' + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

This is a mass term for Ψ_L^1 and Ψ_R' .

If it weren't for pseudoreality of $SU(2)$ representations, we couldn't have written \mathcal{L}_u consistent w/ the weak $SU(2)_L$, and Ψ_R' would be massless.

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB) (Cheng + Li ch. 5.3)

Normally, symmetries lead to degeneracy between states that form multiplets of the symmetry group. The states in a multiplet transform in irreducible representations of the symmetry group.

Suppose $U = \exp(i\theta^a \phi^a)$ is an element of the symmetry group, i.e. $U H U^\dagger = H$.
 \uparrow Hamiltonian

Suppose $H|A\rangle = E_A|A\rangle$.

Define $|B\rangle \equiv U|A\rangle$.

Then $H|B\rangle = U H U^\dagger |B\rangle = U H |A\rangle = E_A U|A\rangle = E_A |B\rangle$.
Hence, $|B\rangle$ is an eigenstate of H w/ eigenvalue E_A .

Suppose ϕ_A and ϕ_B are two fields related by the symmetry transformation, $U\phi_A U^\dagger = \phi_B$.

\leftarrow vacuum
If $|A\rangle = \phi_A|0\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} |B\rangle &= \phi_B|0\rangle = U\phi_A U^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &= U|A\rangle \quad \underline{\text{if}} \quad U^\dagger|0\rangle = |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

However, if $U^\dagger|0\rangle \neq |0\rangle$, the states $|A\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$ defined above are generally nondegenerate.

In this case the vacuum is not invariant under the symmetry transformation, and we say that the symmetry is spontaneously broken.

Example: Ferromagnetism near the Curie temperature T_c

For $T > T_c$ spins are randomly aligned
→ magnetization vanishes

For $T < T_c$ spins align
→ \exists net magnetization

Landau-Ginzburg model: Near T_c , free energy is a rotation-invariant function of the magnetization \vec{M} .

$$U(\vec{M}) = (\partial_i \vec{M}) \cdot (\partial_i \vec{M}) + V(\vec{M})$$

$$V(\vec{M}) = \alpha_1(T) \vec{M} \cdot \vec{M} + \alpha_2 (\vec{M} \cdot \vec{M})^2, \quad \alpha_2 > 0$$

$$\text{Ground state: } \frac{\partial V}{\partial M_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{M} (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \vec{M} \cdot \vec{M}) = 0$$

$T > T_c$: $\alpha_1(T) > 0$, min at $\vec{M} = 0$.

$T < T_c$: $\alpha_1(T) < 0$, min at $|\vec{M}| = \left(\frac{-\alpha_1}{2\alpha_2}\right)^{1/2}$

For $T < T_c$ $|\vec{M}| \neq 0$, but the direction is random
→ decides which ground state

Symmetry breaking = noninvariance of vacuum
→ nonvanishing order parameter.

Goldstone's Theorem

Given a continuous symmetry, Noether's theorem implies \exists current $J^\mu(x)$ s.t. $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$.

If $\int d^3x J^0(x) \equiv Q$ is well defined, then it is conserved:
$$\boxed{0} = \int d^3x \partial_\mu J^\mu = \int d^3x \partial_0 J^0 + \int d^3x \nabla \cdot \vec{J}$$
$$= \frac{d}{dt} Q + \int d^3x \nabla \cdot \vec{J}$$

$\Rightarrow 0$ if \vec{J} falls quickly enough @ ∞ .

Charges generate symmetry transformations: $U = \exp(i\theta^a Q^a)$.

Example: Momentum generates translations

$$\phi(x) = \exp(iP \cdot a) \phi(0) \exp(-iP \cdot a)$$

If the symmetry U is spontaneously broken, then $U|0\rangle \neq |0\rangle$.

Infinitesimal form: $(1 + i\theta^a Q^a)|0\rangle \neq |0\rangle$
 $\rightarrow \boxed{Q^a|0\rangle \neq 0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle 0|Q^2(t)|0\rangle &= \int d^3x \langle 0|J_0(\vec{x}, t) Q(t)|0\rangle \\ &= \int d^3x \langle 0|e^{iP \cdot x} J_0(0) e^{-iP \cdot x} e^{iP \cdot x} Q(0) e^{-iP \cdot x}|0\rangle \\ &= \int d^3x \langle 0|J_0(0) Q(0)|0\rangle \\ &= \infty \text{ since the integrand is indep. of } \vec{x}. \end{aligned}$$

However, commutators w/ Q are well defined.

Under an infinitesimal symmetry transformation,
 $\phi(x) \rightarrow \exp(i\theta Q) \phi(x) \exp(-i\theta Q)$
 $\approx \phi(x) + i\theta [Q, \phi(x)]$

If $\exp(-i\theta Q) |0\rangle = |0\rangle$, i.e. the symmetry is unbroken,
 then $\langle 0 | [Q, \phi(x)] |0\rangle = 0$, and under a symmetry
 transformation $\langle 0 | \phi(x) |0\rangle \rightarrow \langle 0 | \phi(x) |0\rangle$

A well-defined definition of spontaneous symmetry
 breaking is the condition $\langle 0 | [Q(t), \phi(0)] |0\rangle \neq 0$, for
 some field ϕ .

This quantity is time independent:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int d^3x [\partial_n J^\mu(x), \phi(0)] \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int d^3x [J^0(x), \phi(0)] + \int d\vec{x} \cdot \vec{J}(x), \phi(0) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} [Q(t), \phi(0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the condition for SSB is $\langle 0 | [Q(t), \phi(0)] |0\rangle = \eta \neq 0$

Insert a complete set of states s.t. $\sum_n |n\rangle \langle n| = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \sum_n \int d^3x (\langle 0 | J^0(x) |n\rangle \langle n | \phi(0) |0\rangle - \langle 0 | \phi(0) |n\rangle \langle n | J^0(x) |0\rangle) \\ &= \sum_n \int d^3x (e^{-i\vec{p}_n \cdot \vec{x}} \langle 0 | J^0(0) |n\rangle \langle n | \phi(0) |0\rangle - e^{i\vec{p}_n \cdot \vec{x}} \langle 0 | \phi(0) |n\rangle \langle n | J^0(0) |0\rangle) \\ &= \sum_n (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{p}_n) (e^{-i\omega_n t} \langle 0 | J^0(0) |n\rangle \langle n | \phi(0) |0\rangle - e^{i\omega_n t} \langle 0 | \phi(0) |n\rangle \langle n | J^0(0) |0\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

The terms in the sum w/ $\omega_n \neq 0$ would not be time independent, so they must vanish.

The term(s) with $\omega_n = 0$, $\vec{p}_n = 0$ must contribute to the sum because $\eta \neq 0$ by assumption.

Hence, \exists a state $|n\rangle$ with $\omega_n = 0$, $\vec{p}_n = 0$.

If the one-particle states satisfy the relativistic dispersion relation $\omega_n^2 = \vec{p}_n^2 + m_n^2$, then $|n\rangle$ is massless, $m_n = 0$.

This is Goldstone's theorem:

\forall spontaneously broken continuous global symmetry,
 \exists a massless Goldstone boson in the spectrum.